

# Review of: "Biofuels and nanocatalysts: A Data Mining study"

BIBIN CHIDAMBARANATHAN

**Potential competing interests:** The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

1. The quality of the Abstract is GOOD. Authors are requested to perform a strong literature survey of the most recent existing works.
2. Adding sub-headings motivation, contribution and novelty in the introduction section would further strengthen the work
3. The last paragraph of the introduction section should address the research gap, objective and workflow in detail in the present article.
4. A tabulation of detailed related literature needs to be included in the introduction with your existing references in a detailed way.
5. Materials and methods need to be presented with stronger real-time images in a detailed way and also can be presented as a single flow chart.
6. Result and discussion need more logical discussion with related reference as cited in it. Add two to three lines in all parts of the results and discussion
7. A detailed comparison table with your results obtained with the reference article of a minimum of 5-7 in all the aspects that need to be presented.
8. Enhance the overall word count to 9000 – 10000 excluding reference and abstract
9. In the conclusion part, Major results and their proper reasons should be given as point by point.
10. Authors should expand all abbreviations used in the manuscript.
11. The manuscript must be checked for grammatical errors with a native speaker.
12. References need to be enhanced to 50 plus with more relevant articles.