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First of all, you should enumerate all of the equations in the article.

- The Hubble constant was not defined in the abstract.
- The gravitational constant was not defined in the abstract.
- You defined the letter D before mentioning it in the equation.

I do not recommend this manuscript for publication. The author is incapable of showing physical arguments to endorse the unification of quantum mechanics and gravity. The paper does not bring a compelling argument across.

I will cite some reasons to reject the article:

- The manuscript does not engage at all with relevant theories and literature.
- The arguments and logic are impossible to follow. There is a complete mismatch between the theoretical framework and the empirical analysis.
- The author writes the manuscript so incomprehensibly, due to the extremely low standard of writing, that the peer review process becomes impossible.

The main contribution of this paper is unclear.