

Review of: "Feature Selection and Classification of Type II Diabetes on High Dimensional Dataset"

Mahmoud B. Rokaya¹

¹ Taif University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The abstract does include a clear definition of the problem, objective, method, and results. The method does not include specific architecture. Even the figures include misleading information. The review of literature is very short. It almost told us nothing. The experiments and results are not represented in a proper way. Also, they are very shallow. The whole work seems to be not suitable to be published as scientific work. Methods need to be written from scratch. Experiments need a proper analysis.

Can you specify which parts of the method need more detail and what specific details should be included?

Figures' captions are not enough. Figure 1 is wrong.

Could you provide examples of how the experiments and results can be represented more thoroughly and clearly?

Results cannot be presented as screenshots. Figures after Figure 3 should be enumerated, get a caption, and be replaced by figures that represent a deep analysis of the results. Proper measures like accuracy, loss, precision, recall, and F1 score should be used.