

Review of: "Public Health Assurance in the COVID-19 Era: Current Challenges and Future Prospects"

Shiv Shankar Sharma

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

The research paper is based on a relevant topic and explained in a holistic manner. It has mentioned a number of research work done recently to prepare the background of the research. However, there are certain points which can make it more relevant and good research work.

1. The topic and abstract of the research paper is general. It sounds like the research work covered the world. It should be region specific, i.e. 'Public Health Assurance in the COVID-19 Era: Current Challenges and Future Prospects for Nigeria or South Africa or the specific area'.
2. The research should have some methodology to establish the outcomes which is missing in the paper. It can be surveyed among consultants or health workers. Their response will give the final outcome of the topic. Again, it should be region specific because every part of the world has its own unique health requirements and a model or suggestion cannot be generalized.
3. The paper has many punctuation mistakes i.e. ((Acheson, 1988.....at page no. 02
4. There are a number of grammatical mistakes also i.e. extend life it could be an increase in life expectancy.
 - significant public health problems. It can be re-written as challenges faced by public health.
 -Educating healthcare providers can be written as providing skill and training to healthcare workers. As most of the healthcare employees are already educated. We cannot use educating word in this context.
 -and assurance has raised important questions about the scope of health department mandates and federal authorities. The sentence is not clear in itself.
 -these are the circumstances in which people are born, develop, work, live, and age. The sentence is not clear. People can be born but not develop. It should be brought up in the environment; age should be replaced with another word.
 - The sentencethe worse one's socioeconomic standing, the worse one's health is general in nature but not quoted scientifically. Either it should be quoted by some expert or it should be established in a scientific manner. It has been observed that America and Russia have witnessed more COVID-19 related deaths than those in much less developed countries in South Africa or in Asia. Again, it should be scientifically proved.
 - disagreement over the mission's specifics in terms of public health.....is not clear in itself.
 -inequities in public health service provision should have inequalities word. However, the sentence in itself is not clear. The government provides funds for everyone. There is no question of inequalities in it. If so, it should be clarified about the specific region we are talking about.

I hope the researcher will include/edit the above points in the final submission.

