

Review of: "Sustainable Prosperity and Circular Economy in the Care of Mother Earth — The Blue Kiss"

Muhammad Atif Nawaz¹

¹ Islamia University of Bahawalpur

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The following are my comments on the article titled 'Sustainable Prosperity and Circular Economy in the Care of Mother Earth — The Blue Kiss'

1. The article covers a broad range of topics, from ecofeminism to circular economy, but it lacks a clear, coherent narrative that ties these themes together effectively. The connections between ideas could be more explicitly stated and logically sequenced.
2. The extensive use of feminine archetypes and historical references, while insightful, detracts from the main argument. The article could benefit from a more focused discussion on the practical implications of these archetypes in modern environmental policies.
3. The article relies heavily on philosophical and theoretical discussions but lacks empirical data or case studies that could strengthen the argument. Incorporating real-world examples of how women-led initiatives have succeeded in implementing circular economy principles would add credibility.
4. The language used is often overly complex and academic for a layman reader who is not familiar with the subject. Simplifying the language without losing depth could make the article more accessible.
5. While the article presents an idealistic vision of ecofeminism and circular economy, it falls short of offering concrete steps or actionable policies to achieve these goals. The addition of practical recommendations would enhance the article's applicability.
6. The discussion of ecofeminism seems somewhat outdated, with references to older literature. Engaging with more recent scholarship and debates on gender and environmental justice would make the article more relevant.
7. Some sections of the article, especially those discussing historical and cultural archetypes, are repetitive. The article could be streamlined by removing redundant passages.
8. The conclusion does not adequately synthesize the insights presented throughout the article. A stronger, more concise conclusion that clearly outlines the article's contributions to the field would be beneficial.
9. The article occasionally veers into gender essentialism, assuming a universal "feminine" approach to environmental issues. Acknowledging the diversity of women's experiences and perspectives could enrich the analysis.

10. The role of technology in supporting a circular economy is mentioned but not thoroughly explored. A more detailed analysis of how technological advancements can support sustainable practices would enhance the article.

11. The article touches on the need for policy changes but does not delve deeply into specific policy proposals. A more detailed discussion of how governments can implement circular economy practices with a gender-sensitive approach would be valuable.

12. The article could benefit from a more global perspective, incorporating examples and issues from a wider range of regions beyond Europe and North America. This would make the article more universally relevant.