

Review of: "AI in Court: Facing Today's Legal Challenges"

Daniel German¹

¹ University of Victoria

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This article is not really a research paper. It feels more like an essay on the topic of legal issues of AI (and not a very good one). My recommendation is to reject.

First, it does not address a specific research question or problem.

Second, it lacks structure. It feels more like a collection of slightly redundant paragraphs that are barely connected to each other.

Third, this article lacks depth. It keeps being repetitive about the existence of issues related to IP and AI, but does not delve deeply into any of them.

Fourth, many statements in the article are argumentative without any information/reference to back them up. E.g.:

"Companies are addressing these challenges by implementing guidelines and best practices..."

"Recent lawsuits have had a big impact on the AI industry and the law..."

"Recent lawsuits have raised concerns about the potential impacts on AI technology..."

"Recent lawsuits have raised concerns about liability and accountability."

"For instance, countries are increasingly collaborating..."

Second, some references are not accurate.

For example, "Another significant case is "HIQ Labs v LinkedIn," where the court ruled that public data on LinkedIn cannot be monopolized and [...] web scraping is not illegal." This is misleading. HIQ Labs won an injunction on this basis, but ultimately lost when it was found that its web scraping had broken the terms of use of LinkedIn (see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/HiQ_Labs_v._LinkedIn)

The citation Zhao L (2022) has a title but no information on how it was published. Other citations are incomplete (e.g., Cabral T S. (2019)).

