

# Review of: "Understanding the Patterns of Hate Incidents and Reporting Attitudes at a UK University"

Winnie Wong Poh Ming

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Title: the proposed title is acceptable.

Abstract: The intended goal outlined in the abstract lacks clarity. The approach or methods used are not clearly defined. How much percentage is left? 27% had experienced hate incidents. Beyond practical implications, what about the theoretical significance?

Introduction - The introduction needs to be separated from the literature review. All statistical facts must be accompanied by citations for support. The problem statements in the introduction are not clearly presented.

Literature review - No literature review from the existing paper.

Methodology- What research method is employed: qualitative, quantitative, or a mix of both?. how to do measure "understand"? (objective) where is the source of the data? survey questionnaire? or a series of questions? where is the source of the questions? What is the theme? What is the total sample size, and what sampling method was employed? In addition to descriptive analysis, what other analytical methods were utilized to address the proposed objectives? How do you analyse the collected data?

Findings - what is the response rate?

Discussion - where is the discussion of the findings? in the conclusion, it is good for author to include the implications of the study.

references - The author is encouraged to review more articles to provide additional support for the findings and enhance the overall content of the paper.