

# Review of: "Maintaining cyberhygiene in the Internet of Things (IoT): An expert consensus study of requisite user behaviours"

Jatinderkumar Saini

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. It is highly recommended that the authors present a 'table' of comparison of the proposed work with similar research works and state-of-the-art research studied as part of the literature review. This will go a long way in emphasizing the research gaps and highlighting the specific contributions of the proposed work. Irrespective of the presented discussion, this should be done in terms of contrast and comparison of approach as well as the contrast and comparison of the results.
2. There are some occurrences of usage of 'et al.' which are italicized whereas others are not. It is recommended that the authors maintain consistency throughout the manuscript.
3. It is recommended that wherever multiple references are to be cited in the manuscript, they should be cited preferably in the ascending order of the year of the publication of the respective research work. This needs to be done in the entire manuscript, wherever multiple citations are used.
4. It would be interesting to see the authors' view point as far as the recommendations of the proposed work are concerned from point of view of participants' stand based on gender, age, geographical location, etc.