

Peer Review

Review of: "An Assessment of Demographics, Clinical Features, and Risk Factors in Patients With Chronic Respiratory Disorders in Two Latin American Countries"

Meriam Denguezli¹

1. Independent researcher

Introduction: - The research questions/objectives could be more clearly and explicitly stated. - Needs references for key claims (e.g., prevalence and underdiagnosis). Methods: Study design is vague: Is this a cross-sectional observational study? Sampling method is unclear: How were patients selected? Convenience sample? Were they pre-diagnosed? No details on data analysis: Were statistical tests used? If so, which ones? p-values are only mentioned once and not in results. Results: - No figures or tables are shown (e.g., "Figure 1" and "Table 1" are referenced, but not provided). - Lack of statistical significance reporting (e.g., confidence intervals, p-values for associations). Discussion: - Needs more critical analysis - e.g., limitations of the FeNO test, potential biases in self-reporting. - The limitations section is missing - a standard requirement in high-impact journals. - The conclusion mostly reiterates the findings without providing deeper insights or implications. Recommendation: Revise and Resubmit (Major Revisions Needed) The article addresses a relevant and underserved research area, especially in Latin America. However, methodological transparency, statistical rigor, and deeper discussion are lacking.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.