

Review of: "Examining the Ethical and Geopolitical Context of Global Food Security Policy"

Mirella Ferrari¹

¹ University of Milan - Bicocca

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Masek Vojtěč's article analyzes the Draft Report "Collective Action for Ending a Collective Problem (2023)," offering a reflection on the dimension of "food": is it a collective or a universal problem?

He explores the multi-stakeholder approach offered by the Draft and highlights the limitations of this approach, as if there were a higher power that could organize and manage the food system. This view, Masek further says, is full of limitations: for example, a "paternalistic approach" to the "cooperative system" is noted.

The author makes note to us that behind "cooperation system" there are many persons, everyone with interests, needs, and values. It should be better when talking about food and security to follow a bottom-up system that allows a plurality of free initiatives. In the same way, the religions and symbolic approaches should be better changed with multi-stakeholder processes that can protect the food and its security from religious fundamentalism and cultural intolerance.

There is, in fact, a real relationship between democracy and the equitable distribution of food; between the right to food and political freedoms, which the debate fails to consider.

Finally, the Report focuses attention on "food architectures," which, instead, in the author's idea, are an unattainable dream.

In fact, the paper relates democracy, food security, and multilateral dialogue among nation-states as a pursuable possibility, without taking into account the complexity of the moment we are living in, in which several wars stand out, including the one in Ukraine.

The situation is more complex, and the author contributes to the international debate, giving us scientific material for reflection from a different point of view.