

Review of: "Foucauldian Resistance in Dave Eggers' The Circle"

Edina Molnár¹

¹ University of Debrecen

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract Section Feedback:

The abstract is detailed but lacks a description of the methodology and design/approach, which is crucial for the paper to be published.

Introduction Section Feedback:

- The discussion is rich.
- This statement is not clear "Whenever he describes power, he can't help but describe the resistance due to its unavoidable influence over power" What did you intend to communicate? Consider rephrasing it.
- This statement is repetitive and not concise. "The concept of resistance, present in Foucault's writing, is not defined in a clear-cut manner, hence it gets defined in various manners by various interpreters."
- "But on the whole", consider other conjunctions other than the used phrase.
- This statement could be philosophically correct but contextually inconclusive, "Analyzing power from the perspective of discourse, the dominant discourse that influences the larger part of society occupies the centre."
- Reduce repetition in this excerpt in order to communicate more clearly, "Analyzing power from the perspective of discourse, the dominant discourse that influences the larger part of society occupies the centre. The remaining discourses maintain a repulsive relationship with the dominant discourse. As the edge is created for the dominant discourse, it gains dominance over other discourses. This dominance creates disequilibrium between these two types of discourses."
- Consider defining the centre and the periphery in the context of power, resistance, and influence.

Methodology Feedback:

- The objective of the paper and its theoretical underpinning is clear; however, the design is not. Include a few sentences to demonstrate the researcher's activities with regard to this section.

Conclusions and Discussion:

- The discussions in the context of stratified groups and resistance are appropriate.
- The discussions and conclusions reveal the tensions and show the theoretical contributions of the paper.

Overall Feedback:

Thank you for the great paper. The research is relevant, and the author has structured it appropriately. Consider simplifying the language and reducing the use of some phrases or providing options to terms, simply use the easier terms. The key arguments in the study are sufficiently premised, and the significance of the study is evident.

Citation and References:

The referencing style is not streamlined.

Overall Recommendation:

Reconsider after major revision.

English can be improved, and precision should be targeted.