

# Review of: "Bibliometric analysis and current status of Leishmaniasis research indexed in Scopus, 2010 -2023"

Vivek Kumar Singh

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The title is interesting. Majority sections contain required information. Please see my comments below-

Abstract:

- Results - The first sentence - publications classified through years 2010 to 2014 and 2015 to 2023. Please provide the rationale behind this.
- Graphical abstract - Words and countries names are inappropriate because of missing alphabets.

Background:

- Good insights about leishmaniasis.

Methods:

- Data source - It should be 23<sup>d</sup> April.
- Search strategies - Rephrase the second-last sentence.
- Data extraction and analysis - "Were retrieved" is used twice in the first sentence of the second paragraph. In the second sentence, it should be "World Development Indicators (manage it in the reference as well)."

Results:

- Epidemiological status - 4<sup>th</sup> sentence, use "was" for "is."
- Country of publication - Last sentence "Calculating the ratio of number of leishmaniasis publications to number of leishmaniasis cases." seems incomplete. Rephrase the sentence.
- Table 2 caption- Rephrase "world regions ranks."
- Authors affiliations, leading authors, and funding sources - Rephrase the last sentence of the first paragraph and use past tense. First sentence of the second paragraph - make corrections for "Leishmania."
- Keywords analysis: Make corrections for "occurring." While writing scientific names with genus and species, the genus should start with an uppercase letter (*Leishmania donovani*). Manage such throughout.

Discussion:

- Use lowercase for "OF" in the second paragraph.
- While writing "Leishmania" alone, it doesn't need to be italicized. Manage such throughout the manuscript.

Conclusion:

- Rephrase the third and second-last sentences of the first paragraph.
- Rephrase the first and last sentences of the second paragraph.