

Review of: "How many papers are published each week reporting on trials of interventions involving behavioural aspects of health?"

Ala'a Zuhair Mansour¹

¹ Universiti Utara Malaysia

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear authors,

I really appreciate your efforts; this article can give readers good information and should be cited by future studies interested in the health sector.

I have some suggestions for the authors to improve the paper:

1. The abstract looks good. However, it can be improved to reflect the objectives and outcome of the study.
2. In the methodology specifically, you need some improvements. I suggest adding the PRISMA flow diagram, which will help the readers understand how we can gather the data from the targeted database. In addition, I suggest the authors highlight the tools used to analyze the collected data. Kindly follow and link your methodology with the suggested literature:
 - Ahmi, A., & Mohamad, R. (2019). Bibliometric Analysis of Global Scientific Literature on Web Accessibility. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering* 7(6), 250–258.
 - Ahmi, A., & Mohd Nasir, M. H. (2019). Examining the Trend of the Research on eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): A Bibliometric Review. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change* 5(2), 1145–1167.
 - Mansour, A.Z., Ahmi, A., Popoola, O.M.J., and Znaimat, A. (2022). "Discovering the global landscape of fraud detection studies: a bibliometric review," *Journal of Financial Crime*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 701–720. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-03-2021-0052>
3. In the results section, I suggest the author cover more bibliometric indicators that can be covered in the current study to help the reader explore the current state of the art of literature in the targeted field of study.
4. You should clearly state limitations of your study.
5. You should explain your conclusion about study.