

Review of: "Procurement Planning & the Rwanda Biomedical Centre (RBC)"

José Silva¹

1 Universidad de Atacama

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The summary is good, but information about the research method and relevant results is missing.

Keywords should be shorter and more precise.

Although the bibliographic review is adequate, the reference citations are very old. Therefore, it should be updated in the text, because it doesn't give adequate value to the article.

A diagram is shown with independent and dependent variables, but the hypotheses that give consistency to the research are not displayed.

The results are weak. There should be a more in-depth analysis of this. In fact, the method states that the multiple regression model was used, but the results do not show the above. Methodologically this would be very incorrect. It should be thoroughly reviewed. This also disfavors the conclusions.

The article is publishable, but the author will modify its text based on the observations.

Qeios ID: VQFIUM · https://doi.org/10.32388/VQFIUM