

Review of: "Towards Responsible Al-Assisted Scholarship: Comparative Assessment of Generative Models and Adoption Recommendations"

Annye Braca¹

1 Technological University Dublin

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper presents an interesting topic and its organization is commendable. However, there is room for improvement in terms of cohesion to enhance the overall readability and comprehension of the paper. Ensuring a smooth information flow will greatly benefit the readers' understanding.

About the literature review, the method of extracting articles should be more comprehensively described. A key aspect of a literature review is its scalability. Therefore, it is essential to specify the research databases, analysed journals, single or associated research keywords, and inclusion and exclusion criteria. This will enhance the clarity and replicability.

Authors should include references to the research methodology used, providing readers with a better understanding of the research approach employed.

The section on the ANOVA test requires more detail and explanation. It would be helpful if the authors specified the type of ANOVA test employed and provided a clear justification for their choice. This will enhance the transparency and rigor of the statistical analysis.

Qeios ID: VRK5N2 · https://doi.org/10.32388/VRK5N2