

Peer Review

Review of: "Clausewitz's Conceptual Relationship Among Tactics, Strategy, and the Conduct of War (Kriegführung)"

Roland Hellberg¹

1. Department of War Studies, Swedish Defence University, Stockholm, Sweden

Dear Authors,

I found your article to be engaging and thought-provoking; however, I believe there are several areas where improvements could enhance its overall clarity, coherence, and contribution to the field. My specific suggestions are as follows:

Title Alignment: The current title does not fully reflect the core focus of the article. I recommend revising it to better align with the content, for example, *“An Analysis of Clausewitz's Definition of Strategy”* or *“A Comparison of Clausewitz's Concept of Strategy to Other Contemporary Definitions of Military Strategy.”* A more precise title will help readers immediately grasp the article's scope and purpose.

Introduction Structure: To enhance clarity and guide the reader more effectively, the purpose of the article and its structural outline should be clearly articulated earlier in the introduction. This will provide a stronger framework for understanding the study's objectives and contributions.

Contextualization of Contribution: To better position the article within the existing body of research, I recommend including a dedicated section that discusses previous related studies. This will help contextualize the article's contribution and demonstrate its relevance within the broader academic discourse.

Methodological Clarity: The article currently lacks a detailed methodological description. It would be beneficial to explicitly outline the rationale behind the selection of individuals (books) compared to Clausewitz, the accessibility of source materials, and the specific approach taken in conducting the

text analysis. Providing this information will strengthen the credibility and reproducibility of the study.

Structural Coherence: The article would benefit from a more clearly defined and cohesive structure. At present, some sections appear as fragmented analytical elements rather than forming a logically connected argument. A more structured and sequential presentation focused on the concept of strategy would enhance the overall readability and coherence of the article.

I look forward to reading a revised version of your article and appreciate your efforts in addressing these suggestions.

Best regards,

Roland Hellberg

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.