

Review of: "Exploring the Link Between Climate Change and Farming in Rural and Peri-Urban Communities in Sierra Leone"

Amidu Ayeni¹

1 University of Lagos, Nigeria

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. Introduction:

The examples presented in paragraph one are broad and lack specificity regarding regional variation, which is important given the localized nature of climate effects. Additionally, the paragraph is heavily reliant on secondary sources without providing critical analysis or discussing the underlying mechanisms linking climate factors to agricultural outcomes.

Second Paragraph offers a brief counterpoint by acknowledging the potential positive impacts of climate change, such as increased crop yields due to higher temperatures and CO2 levels. While it introduces balance, the point is underdeveloped and seems isolated from the broader narrative. More emphasis on the conditional nature of these positive effects would enhance the argument, particularly addressing the challenges of water availability in regions vulnerable to climate change.

The third paragraph provides valuable insight into the challenges facing African farmers and highlights the technological gap between developed and developing nations. However, it treats African farmers as a homogenous group, failing to acknowledge intra-regional differences. This section could be improved by exploring regional variations within Africa and highlighting specific studies on climate change impacts in West Africa or Sierra Leone.

Paragraph four is quite informative with transitions to mitigation strategies and the introduction of climate-smart agriculture. Nevertheless, it lacks depth in explaining how these strategies specifically address climate-related challenges in different agricultural contexts. It also overgeneralizes the applicability of these strategies without discussing barriers to their implementation in resource-constrained areas like Sierra Leone. More detailed examples of successful climate-smart agriculture initiatives in regions similar to Sierra Leone would add depth. Discussing potential barriers, such as financial constraints or lack of access to technology, would provide a more realistic perspective on these strategies' feasibility.

The fifth paragraph contextualizes climate change impacts in Sierra Leone, which is crucial for framing the study's relevance. However, it does not clearly connect these impacts with the broader narrative established earlier. Furthermore, the research questions introduced at the end seem abrupt and could benefit from clearer linkage to the preceding content. Strengthening the connection between the global and regional discussion of climate change impacts by integrating references to Sierra Leone earlier would be beneficial. The research questions should be framed as a logical progression



from the identified gaps in the literature, clearly justifying the study's focus on Sierra Leone.

2. Methodology:

In the methodology, there are limitations that need addressing vis-à-vis.

- 1. First, the purposive sampling approach, while justified by the study's focus on farmers, introduces potential bias since it excludes non-farming households that might offer comparative insights.
- Administering only 45 questionnaires per district, regardless of district size or population, risks under-representation or over-generalization. A potential flaw exists in collecting climatic data from limited weather stations, which may not capture localized climate variability across the diverse regions studied.
- 3. The study also lacks depth in addressing contextual factors such as socio-economic conditions or agricultural technology access, which could influence farmers' resilience to climate change.

I advise that the methodology would benefit from incorporating a stratified random sampling approach to ensure greater representativeness. Including more localized weather stations, especially in districts with varied topography, would provide a fuller picture of climatic impacts. Integrating qualitative interviews or focus group discussions could enrich the understanding of farmers' adaptive strategies. Finally, accounting for socio-economic factors through additional variables in the regression analysis would strengthen the study's ability to predict climate change impacts.