

Review of: "Paulian Approach to Critical Thinking: Assessing an Intervention Program"

Aliakbar Jafarpour¹

1 Shahrekord University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Comments to the author

Though the manuscript seems to be good in terms of topic, it contains many flaws:

First, the whole manuscript is not well-organized and it should be revised in terms of format and content.

Second, an introduction to the study should be provided for the manuscript.

Third, the research gap and main contribution of the study are still not clear.

Fourth, the report of the results and discussion is confusing.

Fifth, the researcher did not explicitly explain his intervention treatment in the manuscript.

Sixth, the researcher did not have any statement about the implications of the study and the theoretical and pedagogical implications of the study.

Seventh, some comments are also given in the main text for the author. The researcher is recommended to observe and apply them within the manuscript.

Eighth, the report of the results and discussion is to some extent confusing.

Last but not least, the overall design of the manuscript is poor which has a negative effect on the clarity and quality of the presented content.

Qeios ID: VVBGKW · https://doi.org/10.32388/VVBGKW