

# Review of: "Crime Prevention through Environmental Design in Healthcare Facilities: A study of Sehat Al Sharq at Al Khobar, KSA"

Siti Rasidah Md Sakip<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universiti Teknologi Mara

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

## 1. Lack of Clarity and Conciseness:

The introduction is quite lengthy and somewhat repetitive. It could benefit from a more concise and focused approach to grab the reader's attention.

The paper lacks a clear and specific research question, which is essential for guiding the reader through the content.

## 2. Citation and Source Attribution:

The paper lacks proper citations for the sources and references mentioned. It is important to provide citations to support the claims made throughout the text.

## 3. Verbosity and Redundancy:

Some sections are verbose and contain repetitive information, which could be condensed for a more efficient presentation.

There's no need to restate the same information about the importance of safety and security multiple times.

## 4. Organization and Structure:

The paper's structure could be improved with clear section headings to make it easier to navigate and understand the flow of the content.

The paper could benefit from a clear thesis statement or research objective to guide the reader.

## 5. Lack of Critique or Evaluation:

The paper seems to be more of a descriptive overview rather than a critical analysis. It would be valuable to see a more critical evaluation of the existing theories and practices in the field, rather than just a presentation of facts.

## 6. Formatting and Consistency:

The use of different citation styles or reference formats within the text can be confusing. Consistency in citation style is

important.

## **7. Clarity of Language:**

Some sentences are long and complex, which may make it challenging for the reader to follow the argument. Simplifying and clarifying the language can enhance comprehension.

## **8. Data Presentation:**

While the paper mentions the use of qualitative research methodology and case studies, it does not provide any data or results from the study of Sehat Al Sharq Hospital. Including actual findings would make the paper more informative and credible.

## **9. Ethical Considerations:**

The paper discusses patient safety and security but does not address the ethical aspects of implementing security measures in healthcare settings, such as patient privacy concerns.

## **10. Proofreading and Grammar:**

There are some grammatical and typographical errors throughout the text that should be corrected for a more professional presentation.

Overall, the paper has potential, but it would benefit from improved organization, citation, and a more critical analysis of the subject matter. It should also be more concise and to the point.