

Review of: "Toxicological evaluation of aqueous extracts of *Clematis hirsuta* and *Rhamnus prinoides*"

Dzulsuhaimi Daud¹

¹ Universiti Teknologi Mara

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear author/s,

Congratulations on writing a good article. However, to improve the article, here are some suggestion that can be considered:

Abstract

1. Remove the following statement from the abstract "The data from the acute toxicity study was summarized as mean±standard deviation and analyzed using the unpaired Student's t-test. The data from the subacute toxicity study was summarized as mean ±standard deviation and analyzed using Two Way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test. The significance level was set at $p \leq 0.05$. ' because it has been clearly stated in Materials and Methods section.
2. Authors may wish to add some numerical data in presenting the findings.

Methods

1. Please state when the plant sample was collected.
2. Please state the amount of plant materials used for extraction.
3. Please state the amount of collected supernatant.
4. Please state the amount of yield extract.
5. Please state route of treatment, force-feed or voluntary-feed.
6. Please state the vehicle for the treatment.
7. Methodology for subacute toxicity is too brief. Please elaborate on hematological and biochemical methods: how blood was collected, what parameters were determined and details on methodology.

Thank you.