

# Review of: "[Commentary] Climate Change, Health, Ethics and the Sustainability of Civilization"

Maria Meirelles<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidade dos Acores

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

1- I consider the title relevant to the theme addressed in the article.

2- I encourage the author to include some keywords in the article. They play a crucial role in the discovery, organization, and dissemination of scientific research, increasing its accessibility and impact in the academic community.

3- The abstract effectively highlights several key strong points regarding the ethical dimensions of climate change:

- Denial and its consequences: It acknowledges the prevalent denial among policymakers and the general population, noting that while denial may make daily life easier, it obstructs necessary actions to address climate change.
- Ethical considerations: The abstract delves into the ethical dimensions of climate change, particularly regarding the unequal distribution of its impacts. It highlights the disproportionate burden borne by less affluent populations and future generations, emphasizing the intergenerational unfairness.
- Critical examination of societal systems: The abstract raises questions about the role of the scientific publishing industry, capitalism, and political ideologies in shaping discourse and action on climate change.

Overall, the abstract effectively synthesizes complex ethical issues related to climate change, prompting readers to consider the multifaceted challenges and potential paths forward.

4- About the introduction, in my point of view, there are some aspects where the article could be considered fragile or where improvements could be made:

- Bias and Tone: The article appears to have a somewhat alarmist tone, which might affect its credibility for some readers. While discussing serious topics like civilization collapse, it's essential to maintain a balanced and evidence-based approach to avoid appearing overly sensationalist.
- Citing Sources: Some statements are made without direct references to sources, making it difficult for readers to verify the claims or delve deeper into the provided information. Adding specific citations for key points would enhance the article's reliability.
- Clarity and Structure: The article covers a broad range of topics, but at times, the organization and flow of information could be improved for better clarity. Breaking down the content into more distinct sections with clear headings could help readers navigate the complex subject matter more effectively.

The article mentions various challenges and risks, but it could benefit from a more explicit discussion of potential solutions

or mitigation strategies to address these issues.

5- In summary: overall, the conclusion effectively translates the central message of the article, urging readers to recognize the severity of the current situation and take urgent action to mitigate the risks facing civilization.

From what I've read, it's evident that your commitment and dedication to producing this article are clear. I trust that, with a bit more effort and attention to detail, your article has the potential to make a significant contribution to the field of Climate Change, Health, Ethics, and the Sustainability of Civilization.