

Review of: "System and Method for One or More Extruders Using a Robotic Arms to Print a 3D Model"

Blancaflor Arada¹

¹ University of the Philippines

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This review highlights several key areas requiring improvement. Firstly, the presence of grammatical errors detracts from the overall clarity of the text. Additionally, the lack of cohesion in certain sentences makes it difficult for readers to follow the author's intended message. The frequent use of the phrase "one or more" contributes to ambiguity and should be minimized. Authors are advised to avoid the use of acronyms without prior explanation to ensure comprehension for all readers. Furthermore, labels such as "system 100" or "3D printer 112" should be clarified within the text to provide context. The absence of clearly defined objectives and methodologies undermines the study's credibility, while the lack of results and discussion sections limits the article's ability to substantiate claims, particularly regarding the printing speed of the 3D printer in comparison to existing models. Providing proof or evidence of the functionalities of the 3D printer is crucial for validating research findings. Although the article shows promise in contributing to the 3D printing industry, it must present comprehensive research findings to bolster its impact and credibility.