

Review of: "Investigation of Mechanical Properties of Sisal Fiber and Sugar Palm Fiber Reinforced Hybrid Composites"

M. O. Durowoju¹

1 Ladoke Akintola University of Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. Why the selection of this range "0 to 8 %"? Are there literature sources to back this selection?
- 2. Nothing was mentioned about the measurement/calculation of density and porosity under experimental work.
- 3. You did not mention the particle size of the ceramic (SiC) used in this work.
- 4. It can be observed that the porosity for secondary processing via extrusion at 6% and 8% addition of SiC was almost the same. This shows that a few additions above 8% MAY result in a decrease in the porosity and possibly a more densified composite. Can you check the literature to confirm if any researcher has used above 8% addition of SiC? A comparison of the results will also be necessary.
- 5. You gave the percentage increase for the cast sample, but you did not in the case of post-cast conditions of extrusion.
- 6. What do you mean by optimal mixture? Did you optimize the addition of SiC? If yes, you did not mention the optimization method adopted.
- 7. What do you mean by identical? Use adopted technical terms in describing fractured surfaces.
- 8. Lock the aspect ratio before expanding Fig.3.
- 9. Change the word "doping" under impact test to "addition."
- 10. Graphs 8 10 were obtained using a particular load. Give the value of the load for better understanding.
- 11. Are you sure it is aluminum? Or rather aluminum alloy?
- 12. You did not show the refined grains in the SEM images. Maybe a TEM image may be necessary to reveal this.
- 13. The discussion of results should contain the presentation of the results, reasons for the results, justification of the results using previous works, and the implication/applications of the results.