Review of: "A trusted approach for prediction of data link failure and intrusion detection in wireless sensor networks"

Xing Wang

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

In this manuscript, a trusted approach for the prediction of data link failure and intrusion detection was proposed in WSNs. The simulation results show that the proposed algorithm can effectively detect the link breakage and shortest path.

However, I think the English of this manuscript must be polished. It is hard to imagine how difficult it would be for a reader to finish reading this manuscript. For example:

1. Each word should be written correctly, with spaces between words rather than being piled up together. Can the authors tell me why there are no spaces between words in many places of this paper?

2. The structure of the Abstract need to be re-organized to make the contributions can be obtained by readers easier.

3. All the acronyms should follow the first occurrence of the sentence/ words.

4. Each figure should be referred to or explained in the text. Moreover, the format of the figure titles should be uniform. For example, Figure:1 and Figure 2 ???

5. The related work section should not simply be a list of existing studies. A logical organization according to different categories/topics would be an effective option.

6. In Eq.(1), where is the symbol M??

7. The description of the proposed algorithm in section 3 (Proposed Work) is confusing and the division of the chapters is not clear enough. Frankly, after reading this part several times, it is still difficult to find a clear description of the innovations (or contributions) and the corresponding details.

Because the manuscript does not have line numbers, I cannot point out all the other grammar and language errors.