

# Review of: ""Sex" and "gender" as constitutional categories — Critical notes from iusfeminist legal dogmatics"

Brianne Posey<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Washington State University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The subject matter is very important and timely to legal and political constitutionalism. However, the article is lacking substance. Areas to address and build on: First, how is feminism being approached? Feminism is a broad school of thought, and accordingly, there are varying types and waves of feminism. More clarity is needed on which feminist ideology/ideologies the author is tapping into. Second, the article references the importance of this topic in relation to women's rights. The Spanish parliament approved the "Law for the Real and Effective Equality of Trans Persons" on February 16<sup>th</sup>, 2023. Accordingly, what do "sex" and "gender" categories imply for transgender women or rather trans-women's rights? I recognize that transgender policy was not the overarching focus of this work; however, it should be at least referenced (see *Transfeminism*). Third, as "sex" and "gender" within legal discourse is a global issue, are there any comparative constitutional models that are working to achieve or have achieved this model? It is fine to keep the bulk of the focus on Spain, but a global lens serves as an invaluable tool for understanding our ever-evolving global political environment and how individuals and governments interact within it.

In sum, this work is an informative article in brief and/or research proposal. For more persuasive writing, more contextual information and details are needed. Thank you for your work!