

Review of: "What Factors Influence the Punishment of Research Misconduct: Evidence from Hospitals in Mainland China"

Dalibor Cavic

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Accept - minor revision

- 1. The topic is relevant, and the title clearly reflects its content.
- 2. Abstract should contain goals, methods, results, etc. in short, it should have structure and be more informative.
- 3. Introduction chapter is OK.
- 4. Hypotheses are OK.
- 5. Materials and methods I have no remarks except: why such an extensive explanation of coding (years of experience of coders???).
- 6. Results are OK.
- 7. "Conclusion and Discussion" should be Discussion and Conclusion discussion comes first, conclusion comes at the very end.
- 8. References should be corrected to standard (Vancouver?).

Qeios ID: W347T6 · https://doi.org/10.32388/W347T6