

Review of: "Climate Change and Sustainability: A Comparative Case Study"

Maria Mazhar

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Methodological Approach: The article employs a basic and limited methodological approach to discuss a complex issue. It would benefit from a more detailed methodology section, outlining how data was gathered and analyzed for both case studies. A comparison between the two cases after presenting them would strengthen the structure, addressing the perceived silos between the cases.

Literature Review: The literature review is underwhelming, relying on older citations. Given the vast literature available on climate change and sustainability, the authors should incorporate the latest research to strengthen their arguments. A more robust literature review would provide a more comprehensive understanding of the current state of the field.

Empirical Findings: The article lacks empirical findings, especially in the sections discussing challenges and future considerations. Concrete data and examples would add depth to the analysis and help support the recommendations. Including more recent statistics and studies could enhance the overall credibility of the article.

Recommendations: While the objectives of the study are clear, the recommended policies don't seem to align well with the presented findings. It is essential to bridge this gap by offering more concrete and actionable recommendations based on the analyzed data. Policymakers and practitioners would benefit from specific guidance derived from the case studies.

Background and Justification: There is a need for a more substantial background for the study, highlighting the distinctive features of both countries and justifying the choice for comparison. Providing context would enhance the relevance of the study and help readers understand why Sweden and India were chosen for this comparative analysis.

Data-Driven Analysis: The article would benefit from a more data-driven analysis, particularly in presenting similarities and differences between the two cases. Including specific metrics and comparative data would strengthen the article's arguments and make it more compelling for readers.

Conclusion Insights: The conclusion somewhat restates the challenges without providing new insights. It could be more impactful by summarizing key lessons learned from both cases and offering a forward-looking perspective. This would tie the case studies together and leave readers with actionable takeaways.

In summary, the authors have tackled an important topic, but there is room for improvement in the methodology, literature review, and the presentation of findings. Addressing these aspects will contribute to a more robust and impactful comparative case study on climate change and sustainability.

