

Review of: "Redefining borders in the contested territory between San Pedro and San Andres Cholula"

Jose Navarro-Pedreño¹

¹ Universidad Miguel Hernández de Elche

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article "Redefining borders in the contested territory between San Pedro and San Andres Cholula". The objective is well defined and it is an interesting paper regarding the importance and the conflicts associated to the definition of the borders between municipalities. It is recognised that sometimes it is not an easy task.

Please take care with the grammar. For instance in the sentence "The Cholula¹ function as the western border of the metropolitan area of Puebla", I do not know if you want to say "Cholula's function + missing verb" or "Cholula functions as....." or probably the sentence is correct as you use "Cholulas" to refer to San Pedro and San Andrés (both), but it is confusing, please indicates it previously that you use the term Cholulas for both municipalities because it is so far to look for it at the end in the footnotes (page 2). This is only a suggestion.

I wonder how the citations should be written in the text, because you use footnotes and citations. Probably both admitted by the publication.

Please, at the paper is so well written, some minor mistakes would be corrected. For instance in page 3 "tenure.After 1994" in the middle of the paragraph. In page 4 "the*bona fide* boundaries" (at the end).

It is desirable, if you agree, to indicate the methodology and software used to study this case, probably before talking about the Critical borders in Cholula, not after that. Moreover, are these critical borders related to one of the municipalities or Cholulas?

In general, I am used to have table header and not table foot. A table should be explained previously to have a look and in the case of a figure, the information at the foot is complementary because a figure should be easily understood. But it depends on the journal style.

Regarding the results and discussion of them, I agree with those found by the author. Those are, more or less, the same like in other places but this example is a very well explained issue that makes easy to understand other cases.

I find the number of references used seems a bit small. But it is acceptable.