

# Review of: "Signboards prohibiting tobacco sale within 100 yards of educational institutes: The appraisal of prohibition compliance and on-ground status of the COTPA Act, 2003 in Chanakyapuri Division of New Delhi Revenue District, the administrative precinct of India."

Pradnya Kakodkar

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Firstly , Congratulations to the author on successfully completing a study. The topic chosen explains the present ground situation. Well thought off topic. The introduction gives a fair idea of the laws and regulation and ends with the need for this study. The aim and objectives are clear.

In methodology, the author has given clear indication why the target study location was chosen . However, I fail to see information about scientific committee approval, ethics committee approval and its not clear if the author has taken permission from the schools to survey the necessary information from the school premise. Information regarding sample size calculation, data handling and analysis is missing. The author has used the term intentional analysis , the meaning of which is not clear.

Discussion is also written well. But the paper lacks information in the future direction. What Next?

This data is an eye opening information , to understand the compliance of the law. But the study lacks scientific robustness and rigor.

Grammatical and spelling correction needed.