

Peer Review

Review of: "Understanding Stuttering: A Taxonomic Review of Models, Paradigms, and Theories"

Abeer Altaher¹

1. Independent researcher

Thank you for letting me review this article. I would like to appreciate the efforts of the authors.

- I suggested being more clear and organized in the abstract with indications to the headings in the body of the article.
- The abstract is too long and needs to specify more.
- Need to add keywords after the abstract.
- The Introduction and literature review part are missing in the article. I suggested having an Introduction with a review of what stuttering is and what the literatures mentioned in your topic are accordingly.
- I suggested the authors to have a better organization for the objectives and question part of the study, as it needs to be more specified one by one. For example, Questions of the study: 1. 2. 4., also the objectives should be the same.
- Needs to highlight the parts of the article.
- I suggested including a conclusion part as well to highlight why you did this article, what the added value is, and what your recommendations are.
- The flow of the article needs to be checked again.

Best regards

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.