

Review of: "Toxicological evaluation of aqueous extracts of *Clematis hirsuta* and *Rhamnus prinoides*"

Chandrashekhhar G Joshi¹

¹ Mangalore University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript entitled "Toxicological evaluation of aqueous extracts of *Clematis hirsuta* and *Rhamnus prinoides*" is a preliminary study on the evaluation of toxicity of herbal extract in-vivo.

Following are my observations

1. Title seems to be too general
2. Abstract would have been more impressive if it explains clearly the aim, methods, and results
3. Introduction seems fine
4. In materials- mentioning routinely used materials can be avoided by listing only unique chemicals and glassware.
Instruments are usually mentioned in the method section along with the protocol.
5. The location and time of plant collection are to be mentioned in the methods. The Voucher number of the herbarium is to be mentioned in the manuscript.
6. The leaves and roots were shade dried for a week. Do the roots dry within a week?
7. Plant name to be mentioned in italics.
8. The plant extract is nontoxic, however, it increases body weight (seems something of a problem with the study). The study will be a valid one if it is supported by histopathological analysis. Is there any literature that mentions less feeding and gaining more weight than the control animals?
9. Discussion to be written based on the results.
10. Authors conclude that extracts are safe even though extracts increased the weight of animals.
11. Grammatical errors in the manuscripts to be corrected