

## Review of: "Cloud Computing Paradigm in Academics"

Nasir Ahmad Jalali<sup>1</sup>

1 University of Science and Technology Beijing

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Comments to author:

I reviewed the manuscript on cloud computing adoption in academics and found some following issues:

1- the authors said they would compare AWS, Microsoft Azur and Google cloud platforms, but manuscript lacks a comparisons. what is the measurement of comparisons?

2- The paper can be improved by adding clarity regarding the motivation of adopting cloud paradigm in academics, The motivation for this is not sufficiently clear.

3- the abstract and objectives are not the same, for example the authors said in abstract they would perform comparisons but in objectives they claim to present a proposed cloud paradigm but the manuscript also lack of framework, so its hard for readers to understand clearly.

4- The paper is essentially a survey paper, but unfortunately the technical depth, rigour and provided insights/findings are not at the very high level required for publication.

5- The paper is a summary/survey of work about cloud computing paradigm in academics. Much of the paper deals with definitions with very less technical content.

6- the authors presented the challenges related to cloud computing adoption but they didn't recommended any adequate solutions to be adopted especially for security and privacy.

7- paper structure, logical flow and language should be improved.

Qeios ID: WAEREA · https://doi.org/10.32388/WAEREA