

Review of: "Determinants of Severe Acute Malnutrition Among 6-59 Months Children in Nutritional Care Centers of Lumbini Province, Nepal: A Facility-Based Cross-Sectional Study"

Dwi Hapsari Tjandrar

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Eligible mothers having a baby 6-19 months of age attending OTCs and NRHs and willing to consent as well as subsequently participate in our study were included, whereas

What about the sentence "6-19 months" in the inclusion and exclusion criteria section? Is it a typo? Because it does not match the sample criteria.

Inappropriate use of "A Facility-Based Cross-Sectional Study" in the title because the sample was based on a community of mothers and not a health facility. In this case, the facility is only the location of the study. "Cross-sectional study" is a method that can be included in the methods section. So "A Facility-Based Cross-Sectional Study" should not be included in the title.

The discussion section discusses factors that have a significant relationship, and arguments are given about whether or not they are consistent with other research results.

In the conclusion section, list the most dominant or most noteworthy factors in this analysis and justify them.