Review of: "Perceptions of Academic Dishonesty: Insights from the University of Tehran"

Alison Sturrock[1]

1 University College London, University of London

I think this is a very important and troubling topic that is increasingly problematic throughout the world. This review highlights some of the previously published literature and themes whilst concentrating on implications for Iran.

I think it would benefit from being streamlined and better structured. To me, it seemed like the authors wanted to include all the information/results available to them rather than highlighting the results/points that they thought were most important to convey to the reader. At times, the quality of the academic writing could also be improved.

Looking at each section:

Abstract - I thought this read well and presented the main points that they wished to present.

Introduction - This was too long, lacked structure, and did not clearly provide a summary of the purpose of this paper.

Methodology - Needs to provide more details about the rationale for the design of this study and the process for refining the questionnaire. Also, include ethical considerations.

Results - I found the tables difficult to interpret easily as they often contained too much data. I would also have expected a Bonferroni correction or equivalent to have been included.

Conclusion - It would be better to have split this into a discussion and conclusion followed by limitations of this study.