

Review of: "[Review Article] Nanocarriers for Protein and Peptide Drug Delivery"

Chenxuan Wei¹

¹ University of Michigan - Ann Arbor

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Great efforts have been put into the manuscript; however, here are some constructive feedback to enhance the quality of your work.

Lack of Novelty:

- While your paper offers a solid overview of the topic, it seems to lack a clear demonstration of novelty, the advancement/most updated findings, breakthroughs and research focus. Consider highlighting the unique aspects of your contribution and how it advances the current understanding in the nanocarriers to make your work stand out.

Thoroughness of Review:

- While the paper provides valuable insights into the nano carriers, there is a concern about the overall thoroughness of the review. A more comprehensive examination of the existing literature, including a deeper analysis of relevant studies and findings, would strengthen the paper's contribution to the field.

Missing Search Strategy:

- The absence of a detailed description of the search strategy employed during the literature review is a notable gap in the manuscript.

Citation Accuracy:

- I observed instances where citations appeared to be missing, particularly in sections discussing specific findings or claims. It is imperative to ensure that all statements are adequately supported by the relevant literature, and any missing citations should be addressed in the revision.

I believe that addressing these concerns will significantly improve the overall quality and impact of your paper.