

Peer Review

Review of: "The Call for Progress in Evidence-Based Medicine"

Al Musella¹

1. Musella Foundation For Brain Tumor Research and Information, Hewlett, United States

I love the concepts. Very important! I am from a different country than the author, so there may be style differences between the countries – it is acceptable as is, but there are some grammar issues that look a little awkward to an American. For example, “supplied patents” I do not know what that means.

You use the term “endpoint-specific risk classes” in the Abstract. That is the right place to show the acronym ESRC, but you have it on page 8. Same with ESRL. And is Real World Effectiveness the same as real world effectiveness under everyday conditions? If so, be consistent – you switch back and forth.

Another suggestion: The title did not catch my attention, The Call for Progress in Evidence-Based Medicine. A better title may be something like: Advancing Evidence-Based Medicine: The Role of Pragmatic Controlled Trials and Bayesian Approaches.

But overall – very important and should be published!

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.