

# Review of: "MCDA - Groundwater prediction analysis for Sustainable Development using GIS Supported AHP in Okeigbo, Southwestern Nigeria"

Kazeem Olomo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Adekunle Ajasin University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

## #Topic

Since you didn't work in the whole of Okeigbo, I suggest your topic should be changed to \*MCDA - Groundwater Prediction Analysis for Sustainable Development Using GIS-supported AHP in part of Okeigbo, Southwestern Nigeria\*.

"Use part of Okeigbo" anywhere you mentioned Okeigbo in the manuscript.

## #Abstract

The statement "The low, moderate, and high zones constitute 25%, 55%, and 20% respectively of the study area" look like an incomplete statement.

## #Introduction

Even though the last paragraph of your introduction explains the reason why you are carrying out a groundwater survey in the part of Okeigbo. Your introduction didn't address the reason behind the decision to use the MCDA model for your interpretation. I suggest that you should give a background understanding of why you are using MCDA for your work.

The description of the study area should be part of the introduction not under the material and method section.

The data acquisition and analysis section contains a lot of unnecessary information. Look like a thesis. Focus on the field procedure and the interpretation steps.

Results and discussion thought very okay, however, they should be more precise and address the main results of your work. Unnecessary explanations should be removed.

Improve the general English of the manuscript.