

Review of: "Assessment of the differences in the use of free iliac flap for maxillomandibular defects with patient-reported outcomes"

Shadia Elsayed1

1 Al-Azhar University of Cairo

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

We appreciate you sharing this retrospective study even if it has some crucial details that still need to be explained. The introduction section may benefit from a clearer statement of the study's objectives and the knowledge gap in the previous articles.

The survey alone determines the study's outcomes, but other outcomes—such as bone quality, occlusion correction, segment contouring of the inferior border, patient oral health, and rehabilitation—are more important.

The amount of bone quantity and type of iliac bone graft that should be placed (anterior or posterior), as well as the amount and type of soft tissue required for each defect type, should be included in the methods section, and the results should be discussed with others work on the discussion section to make the paper more useful to the reader.

Qeios ID: WL9MXI · https://doi.org/10.32388/WL9MXI