

Review of: "The Utility of Jan Smuts' Theory of Holism for Philosophical Practice"

Sergey Borisov

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The main part of the article remained unchanged. The authors showed how Smuts used the word "Holism" in a metaphysical sense (as an ontological principle/process inherent in nature), while the authors note that although Holism is an "attempt at a synthesis" it is not to be understood as a system of philosophy. However, the authors emphasized the utility of Smuts' concept in dealing with cardinal fallacies within the LBT. The authors believe that Smuts' articulation of freedom can function akin to a guiding virtue such as authenticity, while further operating as an antidote to multiple possible cardinal fallacies. In this regard, the new title of the article fully corresponds to its content.