Review of: "Targeting Alzheimer's disease hallmarks with the Nrf2 activator Isoeugenol"

Saroj Kumar

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. Abstract information needs to be summarized, and words need to be defined in a more elaborate form.

2. The paper's introduction is good, but it needs graphical representation as it is too informative.

3. Section 2.1 Materials is well defined, but graphical representation is missing.

4. Section 2.2.1 is organized, but it needs more references to understand the terminology.

5. Section 2.2.8 and 2.2.9 are well explained, but they need more information for clarity.

6. The paper idea of this manuscript is excellent, futuristic, and well observed.

7. A comparative study is not enough, and the methods are also not adequate for this kind of problem.

8. Needs more technological involvement or software methods to evaluate it.