

# Review of: "Precipitation and Temperature Trends over the Lake Tana Basin, Ethiopia"

Jing Lin Ng

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. For readers to quickly catch your contribution, it would be better to highlight major difficulties and challenges, and your original achievements to overcome them, in a clearer way in abstract and introduction.
2. The discussion section is relatively weak. There were many assumptions and theory statements included by the authors in the discussion sections do not relate to the results. The discussion section should discuss more on the results findings from the study.
3. There are no explanations on the results. The authors should justify why they got the results with solid explanations. The way of authors in presenting discussions is too general. The justifications are relatively weak and lack of evidences.
4. The conclusion section is relatively poor as the authors just repeating what they have mentioned in the result section. They need to highlight the main results and explain the significance of the study.