

[Open Peer Review on Qeios](#)

Adalja — a chance for science and religion to restart together

Johan Gamper¹

¹ Subrosa KB

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract

In this very short paper I paint a new picture of how we can understand ourselves and the cosmos we inhabit. It builds on my previous research and suggests that it is worthwhile more research.

Introduction

Science has become a kind of a modern religion. We believe in it and we hope that it will help us in our lives. The gap between science and religion is getting wider. In (2023a) I showed that science and theology can be based upon the same set of basic assumptions. In (2023b) I drew a sketch of how that could be manifested in the case of — with some applications for physics and consciousness studies — theology. Concerning specifically religion, my (2023c) is an example of a potential religious occurrence that is in accordance with the basic assumptions in my (2023a).

Adalja

“Adalja” is a word meant to symbolize a physical building that is used to study and to do research into the potentials of a cosmos that follows from this suggested expansion of a common sense view of the concept “ontology”:

“If we call an ontological domain of things consisting of one kind of things a homogeneous domain, an expansion of ontology would be to consider ontologically heterogeneous domains. The corresponding basic assumption would be that there may be things consisting of more than one kind of things.” (Gamper 2023d).

Comments

Adalja may never come true. If that would be due to a lacking of funds it would be fully understandable. If, however, it would be due to my suggestion of a specific expansion of our present working ontology, I would like to take the opportunity to put things in perspective.

Basic assumptions are basic assumptions for our understanding of ourselves and our world. They constitute the foundation for what we believe is true. To change the set of basic assumptions for a particular civilization therefore is [...]. Even so, we have seen it be done several times in history. My aim is integration and not division.

References

- Johan Gamper. (2023a). Metaphysics uniting theology and science — back to the basics (as in back to the basic assumptions). Qeios. doi:10.32388/JS5Z04.2. (Preprint of Gamper, Johan, Metaphysics uniting theology and science — back to the basics (as in back to the basic assumptions), in Metaphysics 2021. Proceedings of the Eight World Conference on Metaphysics 2021, 27-29 de octubre de 2021, FISER, FFR, UTPL)
- Johan Gamper. (2023b). Formal Theology. Qeios. doi:10.32388/EMANIB.
- Johan Gamper. (2023c). Led 4 (us) — A dialogue about faith and knowledge. Qeios. doi:10.32388/63N2I4.
- Johan Gamper. (2023d). On a Loophole in Causal Closure: Reply to Berber & Đorđević. Qeios. doi:10.32388/SDCNHA.2.