

Review of: "Bisphosphonate-Related Osteonecrosis of the Jaws Treated with Platelet-Rich Plasma: Preliminary Results from a Case Series"

Francesca Zotti¹

1 University of Verona

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

• Ethical Committee for Human Studies of the "Ospedale di Circolo" - University of Varese

maybe ,for the editorial guidelines, it could be useful to provide the number of the Ethical committee autorization.

All the preparations were incubated at 37°C in glass pots for twenty minutes until self-gelling (it is the only
protocol that achieves this result),

Please, could you better clarify the protocol and its peculiar achievement?

A complete examination of the oral hard and soft tissues was carried out for each patient (Fig. 1). After local
anesthesia was obtained by infiltrating articaine 4% containing 1:100,000 adrenaline (Ubistesin®, 3M Espe, St.
Paul, MN, USA), surgery was performed. Necrotic and infected bone was removed when present. An
osteoplasty was performed in order to regularize the bone profile

Who performed the surgery? the same operator or different operators?

It could be interesting to have more details of surgical procedures, materials and technique

some difference in operative times?

some difference in baseline condition of all patients?

how do you enrolled patients? what the exclusion and inclusion criteria?

instructions about oral hygiene were given

pleae, clarify the oral hygiene techniques and what was explained to patients

A clinical examination was performed, and the following parameters were investigated: pain, swallowing, noncomplete healing, exposed bone, or oral fistulae. The absence of these clinical parameters was defined as
clinical success. Moreover, an orthopanoramic and a Cone-Beam at the baseline (surgery) and at the 12-month
recall were performed.



please, be more accurate in describing how did you measure the parameters cited

what about the radiological paramteters? did you consider them?

 Resolution of the disease was defined as the maintenance of mucosal closure without clinical and radiographic signs of residual infection or exposed bone at the time of evaluation.

please, be more detailed in describing results and provide some value (maybe tables or graph) of each parameter you evaluated. it could be usueful to show data at different timepoints (checks)

Discussion section: please provide a satisfying discussion highlighting weak and strong points of your research as well as the beneficial effect and potential side effects of usign the PRP material. It could be enriching whether discussion will be enlarged and deeply reasoned

Thank ypu for the opportunity

Qeios ID: WQQPRD · https://doi.org/10.32388/WQQPRD