

Peer Review

Review of: "Knowledge and Communication with AI"

N. Katherine Hayles¹

1. Literature, Duke University, United States

I do not recommend this article for publication. It reads more like a sermon than a scientific research paper. It may have its place as a sermon, but it is not suited for a professional research paper in a scientific journal. There is no evidence, data, or experimental results reported—only a highly verbose account of the author’s reasoning. Many of the statements are not backed up by scientific discoveries: for example, that the world is “grey” for nonhuman species. In other passages, the author quotes Biblical scripture as evidence in citing the Gospel of John. Further passages speculate on the nature of God, asking, for example, what God personifies. These are not scientific questions. They may be of interest to theologians or philosophers, but because they are not falsifiable, they have no scientific relevancy. The sections on AI conversations and reasoning do not have any references to the mechanisms by which AI programs work, and consequently are so general that they lapse into errors of various kinds (e.g., that AI generations are purely based on reason, rather than what is actually the case, that they are based on probabilistic calculations and mathematical values). I recommend that the author seek publication in a blog post, popular magazine, or similar venues.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.