

Review of: "Adopting the UTAUT model to understand academic use of emerging technologies among Moroccan nursing students"

Emmanuel O. Adesuyi¹

¹ Birmingham City University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you for submitting this manuscript, I understand that so much effort has been put into producing this piece. While I believe that most of the aspects of the manuscript were well written, I also believe that the manuscript will benefit from English editing. I have provided few comments on areas that needs clarification.

Abstract

The backgroud of the abstract does not reflect a justification for the study and in fact, the first sentence in that background is not making sense. I advise that the authors reframe it. The method of this section is not very clear. Is this a national study? Why was the sample size not stated ? Was there any reason for not targetting a specific population? In the result of this section; what do you mean by level 2 or level 3. I'm actually trying to make sense of the result reported.

Background

The second paragraph contains bogus assertions that would need more information. You will do well to expand a little on what you said those educators or students did. In the fourth paragraph, what do you mean by "The systematic challenges"?

Method

702 nursing students were recruited...Again it is not clear what the target population for this study was. Is this a national study? How do we know that 702 nursing students is representative of the whole nursing students in the area? Sampling? was is probability? It was not stated if ethical approval was secured for this study. Did the authors obtain consent from the participants before collecting data?