

Review of: "Rush Farming of Konjac (*Amorphophallus Muelleri*) Among Novice Farmers in Ciamis Regency, West Java Province, Indonesia: a Descriptive Study"

Lies Indrayanti¹

¹ Universitas Palangka Raya

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This research is actually quite interesting but the writing needs major improvement. Some things that need to be fixed are:

1. The writing format is not like the general writing of research results consisting of: Title, abstract, Introduction, Research Methods, research results and discussion, Conclusions, acknowledgments, Bibliography.

2. Title

The title is unattractive, besides that the word novice farmer is not quite right, it should be removed, because from the results of the research it is said that the average farming experience is 20 years. Konjac farming is quite a lot, not only in West Java but also in many villages in Central Java, all of which are categorized as rush farming. Therefore, it is suggested that the title for this manuscript is:

A Phenomenon, Farming Rush Konjac (*Amorphophallus muelleri*): A Case Study in Jelegong Village, West Java.

3. Abstract

Abstract writing is not well constructed, the composition of abstract should start from research background, research objectives, research methods, observed parameters, sampling methods (randomly or purposively, what is the sampling intensity?), research locations, research results and conclusions. Everything is written briefly and clearly.

The abstract does not fully describe the contents of the research, there are differences in statements between the abstract and the introduction. In the abstract it is stated that the research study is intended to identify the factors that cause konjac cultivation, convince them, and the response needed, while in the introduction it is stated that the research objective is to enrich the discourse on konjac cultivation by determining the type of konjac cultivation and economic benefits for farmers by looking at the creativity of farmers konjac cultivation.

The purpose of this research is very abstract or unclear, has no relationship with the research title.

4. Introduction

The research background is unclear about what problems occur, then why is the importance of this research being carried out in Jelegong village.

5. Data Collection?

At point 5 this should be the research method, the contents of which are: First, the research location states the name of the village, sub-district, district, province and country (because this is published in an international journal), the location is also equipped with an ordinate point. The second is to mention the research object (konjac farmers), the third is the method of collecting data, both primary data and secondary data, the method of taking samples (respondents) whether randomly or purposively which must also explain what characteristics of the respondents are needed, and also explain how much the sampling intensity. The fourth is the observed parameter. The last is data analysis.

6. Research results and discussion

At this point in the form of findings or research results in accordance with the parameters observed sequentially. Along with the discussion.

7. Conclusion

A good conclusion is to answer all of the research objectives. In this manuscript the research objectives are not clear, so the conclusions are also unclear.

Those are some things that I have give, hopefully this can be a material consideration for writers to be able to improve your manuscript.