

Review of: "Design of an intelligent controller for improving the solar system efficiency"

Driss Belkhiri¹

1 Université Ibn Zohr

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript: Design of an intelligent controller for improving the solar system efficiency has some merits, therefore it lacks a performance comparison with state-of-the-art solutions. Experimental results supporting this comparison are welcome. Also, numerical findings under variation of Temperature and Radiation and/or both simultaneously should be indicated.

- 1. Scope the paper: General relevance control and optimisation of PV systems. Some aspect, either in theory or application, which is new or innovative?
- 2. Information contained: Traditional techniques of FLC (Type 1) and classical method Perturb and Observe (P&O) without practical application of known concepts.
- 3. Conclusions drawn not adequately suffer from major omissions like (Justifications the use of two methods, advantages/ disadvantages, numerical outcomes, future directions,
- 4. Title: Should be changed for more attractive.
- 5. Abstract: Should be rewritten and more clarity in both PV models used for simulation results with justification of use the controllers.
- 6. Language: Grammatically good and needs more revision.
- 7. Presentation and style: Adequate and requires a comparative table with the features of other MPPT algorithms (classical and advanced methods) in the literature and the proposed can help to understand the paper's contributions.
- 8. Indicators to prove the effectivity of the MPPT should be calculated.
- 9. Authors should include more recent papers in literature review section.
- 10. Introduction should clearly state the application area
- 11. The practical industrial benefits of the technologies/methodologies introduced (e.g. where it was applied, and what improvements resulted)
- 12. Conclusion not states these clearly.



13 Finally, Literature references inadequate must be updating.