

## Review of: "Urban Agroecosystems in Dynamic Yamuna River Sandbars in Delhi, Capital City of India"

## Soumen Maji<sup>1</sup>

1 Central Institute of Technology Kokrajhar

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Author,

The paper contains very little weightage of technical analysis, which involves only ArcGIS mapping and existing literature. It would have been better to involve some experimental analysis. Comments are given below.

- 1. Novelty of the work is not mentioned properly.
- 2. The box highlighted in the study area, Delhi, is not proper and needs improvement in presenting the study area.
- 3. Fig. 2 & 3, study area fig., is overlapping with the scale bar, which is very inappropriate, and fig. 11 & 12 are similar. Why?
- 4. References highlighted in the introduction ([4][5][6][7][8][9]) are not proper.
- 5. When listing references, it's important to organize them either alphabetically or by date. This ensures a clear and orderly presentation of the information. To achieve this, it's recommended to carefully examine and arrange each item in the list accordingly.
- 6. The title suggests a focus on "Urban Agroecosystems," but the nobility of the study remains unclear. The abstract and introduction should explicitly outline the significance of studying agroecosystems on dynamic Yamuna River sandbars in the context of urban areas, particularly Delhi. The lack of a clear and compelling justification diminishes the nobility of the research.
- 7. The authors should elaborate on the unique features, challenges, or potential contributions these sandbars bring to the study, strengthening the technical rationale.
- 8. The results and discussion section requires more clarity in linking the findings to the research objectives. Generic statements without clear ties to the study's objectives can diminish the technical justification. Ensuring a more structured and focused discussion would strengthen the overall argument for the research.
- 9. Considering the aforementioned points, a thorough revision is recommended to clarify the nobility and enhance the technical justification of the study.

Thank you.

