

Review of: "Could governmental interventions improve subjective well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic? Findings from 750 street vendors in Cali, Colombia"

S.M. Kamran¹

1 Mehran University of Engineering & Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Authors,

I am grateful for the opportunity to review the manuscript "Could governmental interventions improve subjective well-being during the COVID-19 Pandemic? Findings from 750 street vendors in Cali, Colombia." This research delves into a crucial area, examining the potential impact of governmental interventions on subjective well-being amid the COVID-19 pandemic, particularly among street vendors in Cali, Colombia.

The authors have undertaken a commendable effort to explore the effects of governmental measures on the well-being of a vulnerable population during such challenging times. This study holds the promise of shedding light on effective strategies to mitigate the socio-economic impact of the pandemic on this specific group, which can have broader implications for public policy and interventions in similar contexts worldwide.

While the research brings valuable insights, there is always room for refinement and further depth. As I review the article, I look forward to identifying potential areas where clarity, methodological robustness, and nuanced interpretation could enhance the overall strength and impact of the findings. The authors' dedication to improving the quality of their research is highly appreciated, and collaborative efforts can make this study an even more impactful contribution to the field.

Comments/Suggestions;

- Poorly Structured manuscript, need improvement in the following sections;
 - The language of the manuscript, making it most difficult to understand, it needs serious revision.
 - Few parts of the introductions seem like literature review
 - The literature review is written like reporting some events
 - The methodology is different to understand
- Research Methodology, is not clearly understandable
 - Ethics Statement "Ethics Committee of Universidad Icesi, Glasgow Caledonian University (code # 348)." What does the author really want to say here, what is Glasgow Caledonian University (code # 348) doing here, if the study was conducted in Cali by the researcher of Universidad Icesi who is showing affiliation with Xuchang University?



- The authors mentioned "multiple logistic regression", without the justification of employing this method, is it suitable for this type of study, how did the author classify the exposure and non-exposure?
- Next "Subsequently, moderating effects of governmental variables were analysed with SPSS software" SPSS
 software is just a program for the analysis, which techniques were used, and why that technique is used? Need to
 be mentioned.
- Questionnaire need to be annexured, or at least it should be mentioned at the end of the manuscript, that it is available on Demand
- If the data was collected through telephonic conversation/questions, then what https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/w5%D73dp8t4z/1 is this for
- · Language of the questionnaire/interview was not mentioned.
 - I hope it was in Spanish,
 - If it was Spanish, then how did you develop the transcripts in case of interview, or responses in case of the questionnaire, for the analysis in English? Because your analysis was done in English, please clearly mention the language of the interviews/questionnaire and the translation and triangulation process.
- · Results are so dispersed and scattered
 - Presentation of the results can be improved, by tabulating the results, with a column of description.
 - · Results can be elaborated on and explained in the discussion with the minimum possible figures and symbols
- Result of the study is so obvious.
 - · Government interventions mostly positively moderate the well-being
 - Novelty of the research need to be reviewed and revised.