

Review of: "Ecosystem Services Inequality Driven by Agroextractivism in Salamina, Colombia: A Critical Institutional Analysis"

Abdellatif Boutagayout¹

¹ Université Moulay Ismail

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

General Comments:

The article provides an insightful analysis of the multifaceted impacts of Ecosystem Services Inequality Driven by Agroextractivism in Salamina, Colombia. It adeptly navigates through intricate dynamics such as structural influences, power disparities, and institutional frameworks, offering valuable insights into the socio-economic, environmental, and political dimensions of the region's agricultural transformations. While commendable, the article could significantly enhance its clarity and impact by incorporating concrete data, statistics, or maps. This addition would better illustrate the transformation of small peasantry into workers and provide a clearer analysis of the companies' tangible impact on the local economy and ecosystems.

Specific Comments:

Strengths:

Thorough Analysis: The article offers a comprehensive exploration across various dimensions, including political economy, biophysical attributes, social attributes, discourses, rules, and norms, providing a nuanced understanding of the subject matter.

In-depth Insights: The discussions on field description, domain description, and arena description delve deeply into the micro-level implications of agrarian changes, shedding light on their effects on local stakeholders and the landscape.

Areas for Improvement:

Inclusion of Concrete Data: Incorporating concrete data, statistics, or maps could bolster the analysis, particularly in elucidating the transformation of small peasantry into workers and outlining the precise impact of companies on the local economy and ecosystems.

Clarity and Accessibility: Ensuring clarity in terminology and concepts while maintaining depth would enhance accessibility for a broader audience.

Engagement with Counterarguments:

Strengthening the analysis by engaging with potential counterarguments or alternative perspectives could further fortify the article's credibility and objectivity.

Conclusion and Recommendations:

The conclusion effectively summarizes key findings but could benefit from offering more actionable recommendations or suggesting avenues for future research, focusing on practical approaches to address identified inequalities.

Visual Aids and Graphical Representation:

Integrating other visual aids, diagrams, or graphical representations alongside concrete data could enhance the article's readability and elucidate complex concepts or data more effectively.

Language and Structure:

While the language used is generally clear, improving transitions between paragraphs could enhance coherence.

Structurally, clearer headings for subsections might assist readers in navigating through the extensive analysis more efficiently.

Overall, the article provides a thorough investigation into the implications of Ecosystem Services Inequality Driven by Agroextractivism in Salamina, Colombia. Strengthening clarity in terminology, integrating concrete data and visual aids, and broadening engagement with readership while maintaining depth are areas for improvement that would elevate the article's impact.